Probabilistic Solutions to Differential Equations and their Application to Riemannian Statistics — Supplementary Material —

Philipp Hennig Søren Hauberg Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Spemannstraße 38, 72076 Tübingen, Germany [philipp.hennig|soren.hauberg]@tue.mpg.de

1 Gaussian Process Posteriors

Equations (10), (12), (18) and (19) in the main paper are Gaussian process posterior distributions over the curve c arising from observations of various combinations of derivatives of c. These forms arise from the following general result.¹ Consider a Gaussian process prior distribution

$$p(c) = \mathcal{GP}(c; \mu, k) \tag{1}$$

over the function c, and observations y with the likelihood

$$p(y|c,A) = \mathcal{N}(y;Ac,\Lambda), \tag{2}$$

with a linear operator A. This includes the special cases of the selection operator $A = \delta(x - x_i)$ which selects function values $Ac = \int \delta(x - x_i)c(x)dx = c(x_i)$, and the special case of derivative operators $\partial_x^n \delta(x - x_i)$ which give $Ac = \int \partial_x \delta(x - x_i)c(x)dx = c^{(n)}(x_i)$. Then the posterior over any linear map Bc of the curve c (including $B = \delta(x - x_j)$, giving $Bc = c(x_j)$) is

$$p(Bc|y,A) = \mathcal{GP}(Bc;B\mu + BkA^{\mathsf{T}}(AkA^{\mathsf{T}} + \Lambda)^{-1}(y - A\mu), BkB - BkA^{\mathsf{T}}(AkA^{\mathsf{T}} + \Lambda)^{-1}AkB^{\mathsf{T}}).$$
(3)

And the marginal probability for y is

$$p(y|A) = \int p(y|c,A)p(c) dc = \mathcal{N}(y;A\mu,AkA^{\mathsf{T}}+\Lambda)$$
(4)

The classic example is that of the marginal posterior at $c(x_*)$ arising from noisy observations at $[c(x_1), \ldots, c(x_N)]^{\mathsf{T}}$. This is the case of $B = \delta(x - x_*)$ and $A = [\delta(x - x_1), \ldots, \delta(x - x_N)]^{\mathsf{T}}$, which gives

$$B\mu = \mu(x_*) \tag{5}$$

$$A\mu = [\mu(x_1), \dots \mu(x_N)]^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(6)

$$BkA^{\mathsf{T}} = \left[\iint \delta(a - x_*)k(a, b)\delta(b - x_i) \, da \, db\right]_{i=1,\dots,N} = [k(x_*, x_1), \dots k(x_*, x_N)] \tag{7}$$

$$AkA^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_1, x_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k(x_N, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_N, x_N) \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

and so on. All the Gaussian forms in the paper are special cases with various combinations of A and B.

¹Equation A.6 in C.E. Rasmussen and C.K.I. Williams. *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*. MIT Press, 2006

2 Covariance Functions

The models in the paper assume a squared-exponential (aka. radial basis function, Gaussian) covariance function between values of the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$, of the form

$$\operatorname{cov}(f_i(t), f_j(t')) = V_{ij} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t')^2}{2\lambda^2}\right) =: V_{ij}k_{tt'}$$
(9)

The calculations require the covariance between various combinations of derivatives of the function. For clear notation, we'll use the operator $\partial \coloneqq \partial/\partial t$, and the abbreviation $\delta_{tt'} \coloneqq (t - t')/\lambda^2$

$$\operatorname{cov}(f_{i}(t), \dot{f}_{j}(t')) = V_{ij}k_{tt'}\partial^{\mathsf{T}} = V_{ij}\frac{t-t'}{\lambda^{2}}k_{tt'} = V_{ij}\delta_{tt'}k_{tt'} = -\operatorname{cov}(\dot{f}_{i}(t), f_{j}(t'))$$
(10)

$$\operatorname{cov}(\dot{f}_i(t), \dot{f}_j(t')) = V_{ij}\partial k_{tt'}\partial^{\mathsf{T}} = V_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \left(\frac{t-t'}{\lambda^2}\right)^2\right)k_{tt'} = V_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \delta_{tt'}^2\right)k_{tt'}$$
(11)

$$\operatorname{cov}(f_i(t), \ddot{f}_j(t')) = V_{ij}k_{tt'}\partial^{\mathsf{T}}\partial^{\mathsf{T}} = V_{ij}\left(\left(\frac{t-t'}{\lambda^2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)k_{tt'} = V_{ij}\left(\delta_{tt'}^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)k_{tt'} = -\operatorname{cov}(\dot{f}_i(t), \dot{f}_j(t'))$$
(12)

$$\operatorname{cov}(\dot{f}_{i}(t), \ddot{f}_{j}(t')) = V_{ij}\partial k_{tt'}\partial^{\mathsf{T}}\partial^{\mathsf{T}} = V_{ij}\left(\frac{2}{\lambda^{2}}\frac{t-t'}{\lambda^{2}} - \frac{t-t'}{\lambda^{2}}\left(\left(\frac{t-t'}{\lambda^{2}}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right)k_{tt'} = V_{ij}\left(-\delta_{tt'}^{3} + \frac{3}{\lambda^{2}}\delta_{tt'}\right)k_{tt'} \quad (13)$$

$$\operatorname{cov}(\ddot{f}_{i}(t),\ddot{f}_{j}(t')) = V_{ij}\partial\partial k_{tt'}\partial^{\mathsf{T}}\partial^{\mathsf{T}} = V_{ij}\left(\delta_{tt'}^{4} - \frac{6}{\lambda^{2}}\delta_{tt'}^{2} + \frac{3}{\lambda^{4}}\right)k_{tt'}$$
(14)

Of course, all those derivatives retain the Kronecker structure of the original kernel, because $\partial(V \otimes k) = V \otimes \partial k$.

3 Inferring Hyperparameters

Perhaps the most widely used way to learn hyperparameters for Gaussian process models it type-II maximum likelihood estimation, also known as evidence maximisation: The marginal probability for the observations y is $p(y|\lambda) = \int p(y|c)p(c|\lambda) dc = \mathcal{N}(y; \ddot{\mu}_T, \partial \partial k_{TT}(\lambda) \partial \partial + \Lambda)$. Using the shorthand $G := (\partial \partial k_{TT}(\lambda) \partial \partial + \Lambda)$, its logarithm is

$$-2\log p(y|\lambda) = (y - \ddot{\mu}_T)^{\mathsf{T}} G^{-1}(y - \ddot{\mu}_T) + \log |G| + N\log 2\pi$$
(15)

To optimise this expression with respect to the length scale λ , we use

$$-2\frac{\partial \log p(y|\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} = -(y - \ddot{\mu}_T)^{\mathsf{T}} G^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda^2} G^{-1}(y - \ddot{\mu}_T) + \operatorname{tr}(G^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda^2}).$$
(16)

From Equation (14), and using

$$\frac{\partial \delta_{tt'}}{\partial \lambda^2} = -\frac{\delta_{tt'}}{\lambda^2} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial k_{tt'}}{\partial \lambda^2} = k_{tt'} \frac{\delta_{tt'}^2}{2} \tag{17}$$

we find

$$\frac{\partial G_{tt'}^{ij}}{\partial \lambda^2} = V_{ij} \left[\left(-\frac{4}{\lambda^2} \delta_{tt'}^4 + \frac{18}{\lambda^4} \delta_{tt'}^2 + \frac{6}{\lambda^6} \right) k_{tt'} + \partial \partial k_{tt'} \partial^\top \partial^\top \frac{\delta_{tt'}^2}{2} \right]$$
(18)

$$=V_{ij}\left(\frac{\delta^{6}}{2} - \frac{7}{\lambda^{2}}\delta^{4}_{tt'} + \frac{39}{2\lambda^{4}}\delta^{2}_{tt'} + \frac{6}{\lambda^{6}}\right)k_{tt'}$$
(19)

It is also easy to evaluate the second derivative, giving

$$-2\frac{\partial^2 \log p(y|\lambda)}{(\partial\lambda^2)^2} = 2(y - \ddot{\mu}_T)^{\mathsf{T}} G^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda^2} G^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda^2} G^{-1}(y - \ddot{\mu}_T) - \operatorname{tr}\left[\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda^2} G^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda^2} G^{-1}\right]$$
(20)

$$-(y-\ddot{\mu}_T)^{\mathsf{T}}G^{-1}\frac{\partial^2 G}{(\partial\lambda^2)^2}G^{-1}(y-\ddot{\mu}_T) + \operatorname{tr}\left[G^{-1}\frac{\partial^2 G}{(\partial\lambda^2)^2}\right]$$
(21)

where
$$\frac{\partial^2 G_{tt'}^{ij}}{(\partial\lambda^2)^2} = V_{ij} \left(-\frac{3}{\lambda^2} \delta_{tt'}^6 + \frac{35}{\lambda^4} \delta_{tt'}^4 - \frac{78}{\lambda^6} \delta_{tt'}^2 + \frac{18}{\lambda^8} \right) k_{tt'} + \frac{\delta^2}{2} \frac{\partial G_{tt'}^{ij}}{\partial\lambda^2}$$
(22)

This allows constructing a Newton-Raphson optimisation scheme for the length scale of the algorithm.